WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁵ : C01B 33/34, B01J 29/08	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 95/03248
		(43) International Publication Date: 2 February 1995 (02.02.95)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US (22) International Filing Date: 20 July 1994 (UA, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB,
(30) Priority Data: 08/094,737 22 July 1993 (22.07.93)	τ	Published With international search report.
(71) Applicant: PQ CORPORATION [US/US]; P.O. 1 Valley Forge, PA 19482 (US).	Box 84	10,
(72) Inventor: COOPER, David, A.; 124 Harper Aven risville, PA 19067 (US).	ue, Mo	
(54) Title: PROCESS FOR PREPARING AMMONIUM	ZEOL	ITES OF LOW ALKALI METAL CONTENT

(57) Abstract

Ammonium zeolites of extremely low alkali metal content are prepared by a process of potassium ion exchange followed by ammonium ion exchange. Zeolite X or zeolite Y that contain a significant amount of sodium are contacted with a potassium salt solution under conditions that provide a substantial exchange of potassium for sodium. The potassium enriched zeolite is then contacted with an ammonium salt solution so that the ammonium ion replaces the sodium and potassium ions. The resulting ammonium zeolite X or Y contains considerably less than 1 % alkali metal calculated as Na₂O.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados *	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger .
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria .	ĬΕ	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgystan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo		of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KR	Republic of Korea	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KZ	Kazakhstan	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	Ц	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CN	China .	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
cs	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	ĹV	Latvia	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar	US	United States of Americ
FI	Finland	ML	Mali	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France	MN	Mongolia	٧N	Vict Nam
GA	Gabon		•		

PROCESS FOR PREPARING AMMONIUM ZEOLITES OF LOW ALKALI METAL CONTENT

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to the ion exchange of zeolites and provides a process for preparing ammonium zeolites of extremely low alkali metal content. In particular, the process involves ion exchange of a zeolite usually containing a significant amount of sodium to a potassium enriched form of the zeolite. Contact with an ammonium salt solution then provides the ammonium form zeolite with low alkali metal content.

Most zeolites (crystalline aluminosilicates) contain significant amounts of alkali metals, usually sodium. Many applications of said zeolites require the removal of 15 nearly all the sodium and its replacement with ammonium Many zeolite modifications such as stabilization also require removal of nearly all the sodium. zeolites including the faujasites have structures that impede the exchange of ammonium ion for sodium, especially 20 when more than 70 to 80% of the sodium they contain is to be exchanged. Prior art methods include exhaustive ion exchange processes with solutions of high concentrations of ammonium salts. See Example IX of US Patent 3,449,020. An alternative process involves the steam calcination of an ammonium zeolite Y that still contains 2.5 to 5% Na20 25 followed by an additional exchange with an ammonium salt See U.S. Patent 3,929,672 among others. process is not always desirable, as some of the properties of the zeolite are changed and the hydrogen form of the zeolite is formed upon steam calcination. Not all zeolites of the faujasite structure are stable in the hydrogen form. U.S. Patent 4,058,484 discloses a method for providing an ammonium zeolite which involves ion exchange with at least 20 equivalents of ammonium ions for each equivalent of 35 sodium in the zeolite. The temperatures required for the exchange are very high, being in excess of 300°F.

PCT/US94/08181 WO 95/03248

It is an object of this invention to provide ammonium zeolite X or ammonium zeolite Y by a method that does not involve temperatures above boiling, the use of ammonium salt solutions of high concentrations, and/or high 5 ammonium ion to zeolite contact ratios.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

I have found that ammonium zeolites of faujasite structure such as zeolite X, Y, ZSM-20, ZSM-3 and CSZ-1 with very low alkali metal content can be prepared by a 10 process that includes an initial potassium ion exchange followed by an ammonium ion exchange. The starting zeolite X or Y which can contain sodium (about 11% Na20 or more) is contacted with a potassium salt solution at a temperature less than boiling. The contact is such that a significant 15 portion of the zeolitic sodium is replaced by potassium. This exchange need not be exhaustive, as only 80 to 90% of the sodium needs to be exchanged. The nearly complete ion exchange of the zeolitic potassium and sodium for ammonium is now attained relatively easily. The extremely low level 20 of alkali metal in the ammonium zeolite is attained at temperatures less than boiling and without numerous Ion exchange solutions of very high exchange steps. concentrations of ammonium salt and high ammonium ion to zeolite contact ratios are not required.

In contrast to the prior art methods that require high temperatures, high contact ratios of NH₄+/zeolite, highly concentrated ammonium salt solutions, numerous and steam calcinations to remove the most difficult-to-exchange sodium ions, my process's initial 30 potassium exchange surprisingly renders all of the alkali metal ions (sodium and potassium) easily exchanged for ammonium ions, as will be shown in the examples.

25

THE INVENTION

The zeolites treated by the process of my invention 35 are faujasite-type materials, the most common of which are

designated as zeolite X or Y. Such materials are prepared by the hydrothermal treatment of sources of SiO_2 , Al_2O_3 and Na_2O as described in numerous U.S. Patents including 2,882,244 and 3,130,007.

The zeolites produced by the processes disclosed in these patents are represented by the following formula:

5

0.9 ±0.2 Na₂0:Al₂O₃:X SiO₂:Y H₂O

wherein X can be about 2 to 6, and Y can be 0 to 9 and have a faujasite structure. The amount of sodium these mate10 rials contain depends upon the SiO₂/Al₂O₃ ratio. A zeolite Y with a SiO₂/Al₂O₃ ratio of 6 contains about 11% Na₂O. Faujasites of lower SiO₂/Al₂O₃ ratio contain more Na₂O. These materials are articles of commerce and are available as powders and agglomerates.

15 The zeolite is contacted with a potassium salt solution using conditions that produce zeolites wherein at least about 80% of the sodium for zeolite X and at least about 90% of the sodium for zeolite Y is replaced with potassium. The contacting solution can contain one or more 20 potassium salts of strong acids. These can include among others KCl, K₂SO₄, KNO₃. The concentration can be 1 to 10 normal. The contact time can be 0.5 to 5 hours. The temperature is below boiling, but is usually above room temperature. The number of contacts can be varied, but not 25 more than 5 are needed. Usually 1, 2 or 3 contacts are all that are required. After contact or between contacts the zeolite is filtered and washed.

The potassium exchanged zeolite contains sufficient potassium to facilitate the nearly complete exchange of ammonium ion for the sodium and potassium in the zeolite. For zeolite X which has 2.0 to 2.5 moles of SiO₂ for each mole of Al₂O₃ about 84% of the sodium must be exchanged for potassium. For zeolite Y, which has about 3 to 6 moles of SiO₂ for each mole of Al₂O₃, about 90% of the sodium must be exchanged for potassium. To obtain the potassium content required, the starting zeolite should be contacted with up to 10 moles of potassium ion for each mole of sodium to be

exchanged. The potassium level can be more than the minimum required to facilitate the ammonium exchange but no additional process advantages are realized.

The predominately potassium substituted zeolite X or Y is now contacted with a solution of one or more ammonium salts. The salts of strong mineral or organic acids are all useful, and examples include NH₄Cl, (NH₄)₂SO₄ and NH₄NO₃. The concentration of the solution can be 1 to 10 normal and is usually considerably less than about 10 normal. The contact time can vary considerably but is usually 0.5 to 24 hours. The temperature of the exchange is 100°C or less. Several contacts can be used, but we prefer 2 or 3 contacts. For example, using zeolite Y a 3 stage counter-current contact of a total of 7 moles of NH₄⁺ ion for each mole of M⁺ would provide a 97% replacement of M⁺, where M = [Na⁺ + K⁺].

An alternative method of carrying out the process of my invention involves the contact of a zeolite such as zeolite X, zeolite Y, zeolite ZSM-20, or zeolite CSZ-1 to a solution containing both ammonium and potassium salts under conditions to produce the desired replacement of sodium by either potassium or ammonium ions. Then the ammonium, potassium exchanged zeolite is contacted with a solution containing an ammonium salt. This alternative method results in saving potassium salt values.

The products of this ion exchange process are faujasite-type zeolites wherein the properties of the zeolites are not changed very much except that the alkali metal content is well below about 0.8% calculated as Na₂O, and usually well below about 0.5%. I prefer materials that contain less than 0.15% alkali metal calculated as Na₂O. These products can be used in various sorption and catalyst applications. They are also useful as starting materials for stabilization and dealumination processes.

EXAMPLES

The following examples illustrate certain embodiments of my invention. These examples are not provided to establish the scope of the invention, which is described in 5 the disclosure and recited in the claims. The proportions are in parts by weight (pbw), percent by weight (wt%), moles or equivalents. In the tables summarizing the results, Na₂O/Al₂O₃ represents the equivalents of Na for each equivalent of Al in the zeolite, K20/Al2O3 represents the 10 equivalents of K for each equivalent of Al, Na₂O + K₂O represents the equivalents of Na + K for each equivalent of Al and M or M₂O represents Na + K or Na₂O + K₂O respectively.

Examples 1 through 8 were carried out with a starting zeolite Y with 5.5 moles of SiO₂ for each mole of Al₂O₃. This material (a commercial product) will be designated NaY or NaY zeolite. The intermediate products of the potassium exchanges are designated KY or KY zeolite even though they still contain some sodium. The product of the ammonium exchange is designated NH_4Y or NH_4Y zeolite.

Examples 9 through 17 were carried out with a low silica form of zeolite $X (SiO_2/Al_2O_3 = 2)$ which is represented herein as LSX. This material was synthesized by the method described by Kühl, Zeolites 1987 Vol. 7, "Crystallization of Low Silica Faujasite (SiO2/Al2O3 = 2)." This 25 synthesis is carried out using a mixed alkali of sodium and This product was my starting material and is potassium. designated "NaK LSX" or "NaK LSX zeolite." The intermediate products of the potassium exchange are designated "K LSX" or "K LSX zeolite", even though they still contain The product of the ammonium exchange is 30 some sodium. designated "NH4 LSX" or "NH4 LSX zeolite."

Example 1 (Comparative example)

20

NaY zeolite $(SiO_2/Al_2O_3 = 5.5)$ was contacted with various quantities of 3N NH₄NO₃ solution for 24 hours at 35 180°F. The ion exchange contact ratios and residual Na₂O

levels are shown in Table 1. The zeolite was washed with 15 pbw hot deionized (DI) $\rm H_2O$ after each ion exchange contact.

			T	ABLE :	L			
5	Meq NH ₄ + gm zeolite	0	8.4	12.5	37.5	125	375	1250
	Wt% Na ₂ O (anhydrous)	13.99	6.07	5.42	4.38	3.23	1.96	1.42
10	Na ₂ O (final)/ Na ₂ O Initial	1.0	0.43	0.38	0.31	0.23	0.14	0.10

These results show that very high ammonia to zeolite contact ratios carried out according to the prior art provide products that still have substantial alkali metal content.

15 Example 2 (Preparing KY Zeolite)

KY zeolite was prepared by contacting NaY zeolite $(SiO_2/Al_2O_3 = 5.5)$ with 5 pbw of KCl per pbw of NaY zeolite at 150°F for 2 hours using 2N KCl solution. After the K⁺ exchange the zeolite was washed with 5 pbw hot DI H₂O. The 20 ion exchange contact was repeated twice. The properties after the third contact are shown in Table 2.

	T	ABLE 2	
		NaY Starting	КУ
	SiO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃	5.5	5.5
	Wt% Na ₂ O	13.9	0.59
25	Wt% K ₂ O		17.03
	K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃		0.95
	Na ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	,	0.05
	Lattice Parameter (Å)		24.73

These results indicate that potassium ion exchanges readily for sodium ion in NaY.

Example 3 (Converting KY Zeolite to NH4Y Zeolite)

KY zeolite prepared as described in Example 2 was 5 contacted with various quantities of 3N NH_4Cl solution for 24 hours at 100°C. The ion exchange contact ratios and residual Na_2O and K_2O levels are shown in Table 3.

	TABL	E 3			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Meq NH ₄ +/gm	0	8	40	100	400	1667
Wt% Na ₂ O (anhydrous)	0.59	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Wt% K ₂ O (anhydrous)	17.03	10.37	4.19	1.73	0.58	0.19
K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.95	0.56	0.22	0.09	0.03	0.01
Na ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
M ₂ O (Final)/M ₂ O (Initial)	1.0	0.61	0.25	0.11	0.03	0.01

These results, when compared with the results in Table 1, show how the process of my invention allows the removal of nearly all the alkali metal in zeolite Y. Sodium is completely removed.

Example 4 (Comparative Example)

NaY zeolite (13.3% Na_2O) was repeatedly contacted with NH_4NO_3 solution. Between each ion exchange contact the zeolite was washed with 15 pbw DI H_2O . The contact conditions and characterization results are shown in Table 4.

	TAB	LE 4				
Contact		1	2 .	3	4	5
Meq NH ₄ +/gm		44	44	44	62.5	62.5
Contact Temperature (°F)		180	180	180	180	180
Contact Time (hr)		3	3	5	5	5
Wt% Na ₂ O	13.3	4.03	1.24	1.09	0.57	0.17
Na ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	1.0	0.30	0.09	0.08	0.04	0.01

7

These results show how difficult it is to remove nearly all of the sodium from NaY using prior art conventional ion exchange methods.

Example 5 (Converting KY Zeolite to NH₄ Y Zeolite)

5

KY prepared as described in Example 2 was contacted with NH₄Cl solution three times at $180\,^{\circ}\text{F}$ for 2 hours each contact. The zeolite was washed with 15 pbw DI H₂O in each interval between contacts. The contact conditions and residual Na₂O and K₂O levels are shown in Table 5.

	TAE	LE 5		
Contact		1	2	3
Meq NH ₄ +/gm	0	44	44	44
Wt% Na ₂ O	0.59	0.01	0.01	0.01
Wt% K ₂ O	17.03	4.01	0.68	0.18
Na ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.05	0	0	0
K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.95	0.21	0.03	0.01
Na ₂ O + K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	1.0	0.21	0.03	0.01

These results also show the advantages of my process in the number of ion exchange contacts and the amount of ammonium salt required to achieve the desired low levels of Na and K remaining in the zeolite is reduced.

Examples 6 and 6a (Preparing KY Zeolite Outside Invention Limits)

KY zeolites with higher levels of residual Na_2O were prepared by contacting NaY zeolite with 2N KCl solution. The contact conditions and residual Na_2O and K_2O levels are shown in Table 6.

TABLE 6							
	Example 2	Example 6	Example 6a				
Meq K ⁺ /gm NaY zeolite		20	40				
Contact Temperature (°F)		180	180				
Contact Time (hr)		2	2				
Wt% Na ₂ O (anhydrous) .	0.59	2.20	1.19				
Wt% K_2O (anhydrous)	17.03	14.80	16.18				
K_2O/Al_2O_3	0.95	0.82	0.90				
Na ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.05	0.18	0.10				

5

Example 7 (Converting KY Zeolite to NH_4Y Zeolite Outside 10 Invention Limits)

KY from Example 6 ($K_2O/Al_2O_3=0.82$) was contacted with various quantities of NH_4Cl solution for 24 hours at 100°C. The ion exchange contact conditions and residual Na_2O and K_2O levels are shown in Table 7.

TABLE 7					
Meq NH ₄ +/gm KY	0	40	100	400	1746
Wt% Na ₂ O (anhy)	2.20	1.81	1.46	0.99	0.53
Wt% K ₂ O (anhy)	14.80	3.78	2.32	0.69	0.11
Na ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.11	0.05
K_2O/Al_2O_3	0.82	0.26	0.17	0.05	0.01
Na ₂ O+K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	1.00	0.45	0.33	0.16	0.06

These results show that a KY zeolite that still retains $0.18\ \mathrm{Na_2O/Al_2O_3}$ does not provide the advantages of my process. These results should be compared with those in 25 Table 3.

PCT/US94/08181 WO 95/03248

8 (Converting KY Zeolite to NH₄Y Zeolite)

KY from Example 6a $(K_2O/Al_2O_3 = 0.90)$ was contacted with various quantities of NH4Cl solution for 24 hours at The ion-exchange contact conditions and residual 5 Na_2O and K_2O levels are shown in Table 8.

	TAB	LE 8			
Meq NH ₄ +/gm KY	0	40	100	400	1667
Wt% Na ₂ O (anhy)	1.19	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02
Wt% K ₂ O (anhy)	16.18	4.23	1.76	0.64	0.23
Na ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.10	0	0	0	0
K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.90	0.23	0.10	0.03	0.01
Na ₂ O+K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	1.00	0.23	0.10	0.03	0.01

These results, combined with those of Examples 1 and 8, show that more than 82% of the available ion exchange sites 15 must be in the potassium form to provide the advantages of my process.

Example 9 (Comparative Example)

Nak LSX was contacted with various quantities of NH₄NO₃ solution for 6 hours at 195°F. The ion-exchange 20 contact ratios and residual Na_2O and K_2O levels are shown in Table 9.

			TA	BLE 9					
	Meq NH ₄ +/gm NaKLSX powder	0	12	24	48	96	192	384	1624
25	Wt% Na ₂ O (anhydrous)	15.9	10.50	9.76	8.64	7.79	7.10	5.92	0.69
	Wt% K ₂ O (anhydrous)	8.1	1.90	1.23	0.71	0.46	0.31	0.22	0.11
	K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.25	0.06	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
30	Na ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.75	0.46	0.42	0.36	0.32	0.29	0.24	0.03
	Na ₂ O + K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	1.00	0.52	0.45	0.38	0.33	0.30	0.25	0.04

These results indicate that severe conditions are required to prepare NH4 LSX of very low alkali metal content by conventional prior art ion exchange processes.

Example 10 (Preparing K LSX)

prepared by contacting was K LSX $(Na_2O/Al_2O_3 = 0.75)$ with 3 pbw of KCl per pbw of NaK LSX zeolite at 160°F for 2 hours using 3N KCl. After the K+ exchange, the zeolite was washed with 5 pbw hot DI $\rm H_2O$. The ion exchange contact was repeated three times. 10 properties after the fourth contact are shown in Table 10.

TABLE 10						
SiO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃	2.0					
Wt% Na ₂ O	0.03					
Wt% K ₂ O	29.72					
K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	1.00					
Na ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0					

Example 11 (Converting K LSX to NH4 LSX)

K LSX zeolite prepared as described in Example 10 was contacted with various quantities of NH4NO3 solution for 20 6 hours at 160°F. The ion exchange contact ratios and residual Na20 and K20 levels are shown in Table 11.

TABLE 11						
Meq NH ₄ ⁺ /gm zeolite	0	26	52	130	260	1040
Wt% Na ₂ O	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01
Wt% K ₂ O	29.72	10.10	7.26	5.57	3.45	0.76
K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	1.00	0.28	0.19	0.15	0.09	0.02
Na ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0	0	0	0	0	0
Na ₂ O+K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	1.00	0.28	0.19	0.15	0.09	0.02

25

5

PCT/US94/08181 WO 95/03248

After NH₄⁺ ion exchange, the residual K₂O + Na₂O/Al₂O₃ ratio is much lower when starting with K LSX than when starting with NaK LSX.

Example 12 (Comparative Example)

5

NaK LSX was contacted repeatedly with NH4Cl The zeolite was washed with 15 pbw DI ${\rm H}_2{\rm O}$ after solution. After four contacts the NH4NaK LSX was each contact. analyzed for residual Na20 and K20. A summary of the contact conditions and residual Na_2O and K_2O is shown in 10 Table 12.

TABLE 12		
Meq NH ₄ ⁺ /gm NaK LSX/contact	0	75
Number of Crossflow Contacts	0	4
Contact Temperature (°F)	0	160
Contact Time (hr)	0	2
Wt% Na ₂ O (anhydrous)	15.9	3.45
Wt% K ₂ O (anhydrous)	8.1	0.01
K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.25	0
Na ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.75	0.13
Na ₂ O + K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	1.00	0.13

These results further illustrate that even very exhaustive prior art ion exchange processes do not provide NH4 LSX of very low alkali metal content.

Example 13 (Converting K LSX to NH4 LSX)

K LSX, prepared as described in Example 10, was 25 contacted with NH₄Cl solution three times at 160°F for 2 The zeolite was washed with 15 pbw hours each contact. The contact conditions and DI H₂O between each contact. residual K_2O level after the third contact are shown in 30 Table 13.

TAB	LE 13	
Meq NH ₄ ⁺ /gm/contact	0	28
Number of Contacts	0	3
Wt% K ₂ O (anhydrous)	29.72	1.96
K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	1.00	0.05

These results illustrate the advantages of my invention, especially when compared to the results of Example 12 summarized in Table 12.

Examples 14 and 14a (Preparing K LSX Outside Invention 10 Limits)

K LSX with varying levels of residual Na_2O were prepared by contacting NaK LSX ($Na_2O/Al_2O_3=0.75$) with 3N KCl solution. The contact conditions and residual Na_2O and K_2O levels are shown in Table 14.

15		TABLE 14					
			Example 14	Example 14a			
	Meq NH ₄ +/gm NaKLSX	0	13	53			
	Temperature (°F)		180	180			
	Contact Time (hr)		2	2			
	Wt% Na ₂ O (anhydrous)	15.9	12.53	3.19			
20	Wt% K ₂ O (anhydrous)	8.1	12.67	25.40			
	Na ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.75	0.40	0.16			
	K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.25	0.60	0.84			
	$Na_2O + K_2O/Al_2O_3$	1.00	1.00	1.00			

Example 15 (Converting K LSX to NH₄ LSX Outside Invention 25 Limits)

K LSX $(K_2O/Al_2O_3 = 0.84)$ prepared as described in Example 14a was contacted with various quantities of 4N NH₄Cl solution for 24 hours at 180°F. The ion-exchange

conditions and residual Na_2O and K_2O levels are shown in Table 15.

TABLE 15						
Meq NH ₄ ⁺ /gm KNa LSX	0	40	160	400	800	
Wt% Na ₂ O (anhydrous)	3.19	1.32	0.66	0.22	0.06	
Wt% K ₂ O (anhydrous)	25.40	5.01	3.34	1.33	0.53	
Na ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.16	0.06	0.03	0.01		
K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.84	0.15	0.10	0.04	0.02	
Na ₂ O + K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	1.00	0.21	0.13	0.05	0.02	

5

10 These results indicate that the advantages of my process are realized when the K LSX still retains 0.16 Na_2O/Al_2O_3 . Compare these results with Example 11 and Table 11.

Example 16 (Converting K LSX to NH₄ LSX Outside Invention Limits)

15 K LSX $(K_2O/Al_2O_3 = 0.60)$ prepared as described in Example 14 was contacted with various quantities of 4N NH_4Cl solution for 24 hours at 180°F. Ion-exchange conditions and residual Na_2O and K_2O levels are shown in Table 16.

	TABLE 16						
20	Meq NH ₄ ⁺ l (gm KNa LSX)	0	40	160	400	800	
	Wt% Na ₂ O (anhydrous)	12.53	6.18	4.63	4.40	0.44	
	Wt% K ₂ O (anhydrous)	12.67	1.67	1.00	0.67	0.65	
	Na ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.40	0.28	0.21	0.20	0.02	
	K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃	0.60	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02	
25	$Na_2O + K_2O/Al_2O_3$	1.00	0.33	0.24	0.22	0.04	

These results indicate that the advantages of my process are not fully realized when the K LSX still retains sufficient sodium to provide 0.60 $\rm Na_2O/Al_2O_3$.

CLAIMS

I claim:

- 1. A process for preparing an ammonium zeolite of the faujasite structure selected from the group consisting of zeolite X, zeolite Y, zeolite ZSM-20, zeolite ZSM-3 and zeolite CSZ-1, of extremely low alkali metal content comprising the steps of:
- a. contacting said zeolite that contains sodium with a solution of a potassium salt under ion-exchange conditions that provide exchange of the sodium for potassium to provide a residual sodium content of 0.1 equivalent of Na₂O or less for each equivalent of Al₂O₃;
- filtering and washing the resulting potassium exchanged zeolite;
- c. contacting said potassium exchanged zeolite with a solution of an ammonium salt under ion-exchange conditions such that ammonium ions replace sodium and potassium ions in the zeolite to produce an ammonium Y zeolite that contains less than 0.1 equivalent of Na₂O + K₂O per equivalent of Al₂O₃; and
- d. filtering and washing the resulting zeolite product.
- 2. A process for preparing ammonium zeolite Y of extremely low alkali metal content, comprising the steps of:
- a. contacting zeolite Y that contains sodium with a solution of a potassium salt under ion-exchange conditions that provide exchange of the sodium for potassium to provide a residual sodium content of 0.18 equivalent of Na₂O or less for each equivalent of Al₂O₃;
- b. filtering and washing the resulting potassium exchanged zeolite;
- c. contacting said potassium exchanged zeolite with a solution of an ammonium salt under ion-exchange conditions such that ammonium ions replace sodium and

potassium ions in the zeolite to produce an ammonium Y zeolite that contains less than 0.1 equivalent of Na_2O + K_2O per equivalent of Al_2O_3 ; and

- d. filtering and washing the resulting zeolite Y product.
- 3. A process for preparing ammonium zeolite X of extremely low alkali metal content, comprising the steps of:
- a. contacting zeolite X that contains sodium with a solution of a potassium salt under ion-exchange conditions that provide exchange of the sodium for potassium to provide a residual sodium content of 0.16 equivalents of Na₂O or less for each equivalent of Al₂O₃;
- b. filtering and washing the resulting potassium exchanged zeolite;
- c. contacting said potassium exchanged zeolite with a solution of an ammonium salt under ion-exchange conditions such that ammonium ions replace sodium and potassium ions in the zeolite to produce an ammonium Y zeolite that contains less than 0.1 equivalent of Na₂O + K₂O per equivalent of Al₂O₃; and
- d. filtering and washing the resulting zeolite X product.
- 4. A process for preparing an ammonium zeolite of the faujasite structure selected from the group consisting of zeolite X, zeolite y, zeolite ZSM-20, zeolite ZSM-3 and zeolite CSZ-1 of extremely low alkali metal content comprising the steps of:
- a. contacting said zeolite that contains sodium with a solution that contains a potassium salt and an ammonium salt under ion-exchange conditions that provide exchange of the sodium for potassium or ammonium to result in a residual sodium content of 0.1 equivalents of Na₂O or less for each equivalent of Al₂O₃;
- filtering and washing the resulting potassium, ammonium exchanged zeolite;

c. contacting said potassium, ammonium exchanged zeolite with a solution of an ammonium salt under ion-exchange conditions such that ammonium ions replace sodium and potassium ions in the zeolite to produce an ammonium Y zeolite that contains less than 0.1 equivalent of Na₂O + K₂O per equivalent of Al₂O₃; and

d. filtering and washing the resulting zeolite product.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US94/08181

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(5) :C01B 33/34; B01J 29/08 US CL :423/700, 713, Dig 21; 502/79, 86						
According to	According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
B. FIEL	DS SEARCHED					
Minimum do	ocumentation searched (classification system followed	by classification symbols)				
U.S. : 4	423/700, 713, Dig 21; 502/79, 86					
Documentati	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the	extent that such documents are included	in the fields searched			
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (na	me of data base and, where practicable,	search terms used)			

C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		<u></u>			
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	propriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.			
X	US, A, 2,617,712 (Bond) 11 Nove	mber 1952, col's 3 and 4.	1-3			
Υ			4			
Y	US, A, 4,922,039 (Yamada et al.)	Q1 May 1990, col. 6.	1-4			
Υ	US, A, 4,393,082 (White et al.) 1 53-61.	2 July 1983, col. 3, lines	1-4			
A	US, A, 4,388,224 (Moorehead) 14	1 June 1983.	1-4			
A	US, A, 4,265,788 (Ebitani et al.) (05 May 1981.	1-4			
Α .	US, A, 4,224,188 (Alafandi et al.)	23 September 1980.	1-4			
,	er en er	•				
	·	·				
X Furth	her documents are listed in the continuation of Box C	. See patent family annex.				
"A" do	ocial categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the inte date and not in conflict with the applic principle or theory underlying the inv	ation but cited to understand the			
.E. er	be of particular relevance rlier document published on or after the international filing date cument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is	"X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be consider when the document is taken alone				
cit	ted to establish the publication date of another citation or other	*Y* document of particular relevance; th	e claimed invention cannot be			
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other being obvious to a person skilled in the art						
	*P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than "&" document member of the same patent family the priority date claimed					
4	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sea	irch report			
15 SEPTI	EMBER 1994	OCT 0 6 1994	0			
	mailing address of the ISA/US oner of Patents and Trademarks	Authorized offices & Man	ent			
Washingto	n, D.C. 20231 No. (703) 305-3230	DAVID R. SAMPLE Telephone No. (703) 305-0661				
acountee P	10. (1031-303-343 U	, reignituite 170. (703) 303-0001				

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US94/08181

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
?	US, A, 4,069,172 (Kanaoka et al.) 17 January 1978, col. 2 lines 43-60.	1-4
١	US, A, 3,696,276 (Rosback) 13 July 1976.	1-4
	US, A, 3,677,698 (Sherry et al.) 18 July 1972.	1-4
\	US, A, 3,640,680 (Kokotailo ey al.) 08 February 1972.	1-4
\	US, A, 3,607,043 (McDaniel et al.) 21 September 1971.	1-4
A	US, A, 3,595,611 (McDaniel et al.) 27 July 1971.	1-4
A	J. of Physical Chemistry, Volume 70 No. 4, published April 1970, H.S. Sherry, "The Ion-Exchange Properties of Zeolites. I. Univalent Ion Exchange in Synthetic Faujasite", pages 1158-1168.	1-4
:		
•	·	·
	•	
	·	

			;
·			
		•	,